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## What and How

Redistricting is the process by which the legislative body equalizes differences in the population of districts by making adjustments to district boundaries.

Redistricting $\neq$ Reapportionment

## Why?

Examination of the need to redistrict after each decennial census is required by Florida Constitution and Chapter 124, FS
Additional direction to redistrict may be contained in county charter
Furthers the principal of "one person, one vote"

- $14^{\text {th }}$ Amendment (1868)
- $15^{\text {th }}$ Amendment (1870)
- Voting Rights Act (1965)


## General Guidelines for Redistricting

## General Guidelines

- Equal (almost) in population
- Don't dilute minority voting strength
- Follow census blocks
- Follow significant boundaries
- Must be contiguous
- Should be as compact as possible
- Preserve communities of interest
- May consider party affiliation


## General Guidelines

- Individual criteria and guidelines are balanced in concert with each other
- Use common sense


## General Guidelines - Population

As equal in population as "possible" or "practicable"

- Examine need to adjust after each decennial census released
- May adjust boundaries during any oddnumbered year


## General Guidelines - Population

As equal in population as "possible" or "practicable"

Article VIII, section 1(e):
After each decennial census the board of county commissioners shall divide the county into districts of contiguous territory as nearly equal in population as practicable.

## General Guidelines - Population

As equal in population as "possible" or "practicable"
Chapter 124, FS:
(3) The board of county commissioners shall from time to time, fix the boundaries of the above districts so as to keep them as nearly equal in proportion to population as possible; provided, that changes made in the boundaries of county commissioner districts pursuant to this section shall be made only in odd-numbered years.

## General Guidelines - Population

As equal in population as "possible" or "practicable"
Charter example:
"After each decennial census...there shall be established a county redistricting board composed of eleven members...In developing the county redistricting board's proposals...districts shall be contiguous and as nearly equal in population as practicable."

## *As equal in population as "possible" or "practicable"

Absolute population equality is impossible.
$\checkmark$ Minimize the deviation from the mean - less than $3 \%$ over/under ideal is good

Population $\neq$ Registered Voters
Two districts with population differences over 10 percentage points raises red flag

## *As equal in population as "possible" or "practicable"

Two districts with population differences $\geq 10$ percentage points raises red flag

| District <br> $\#$ | Average <br> Population | Actual <br> Population | Actual <br> Deviation | Percent <br> Deviation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2,952 | 3,108 | 156 | $5.28 \%$ |  |
| 2 | 2,952 | 2,741 | $(211)$ | $-7.15 \%$ |  |
| 3 | 2,952 | 3,066 | 114 | $3.85 \%$ |  |
| 4 | 2,952 | 3,113 | 161 | $5.45 \%$ | Deviation= |
| 5 | 2,952 | 2,733 | $(219)$ | $-7.42 \%$ | 12.87 points |

## *As equal in population as "possible" or "practicable"

Examples of possible exceptions to 10-point rule (with well-documented record and in concert with other criteria)
$\checkmark$ To create minority influence or majority district
$\checkmark$ To under populate high growth area of county

## Don't Dilute Minority Voting Strength

Packing - locating most of minority population into one district so as to dilute influence in other districts

Cracking - splitting minority population into two or more districts so as to dilute influence in all districts

## Don't Dilute Minority Voting Strength

Sunshine County population is 22.5\% Green and 77.5\% Blue. Below shows a relatively even distribution of blue and green electorate into five districts.


| District | Blue <br> $\%$ | Green <br> $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 50 | 50 |
| 2 | 100 | 0 |
| 3 | 40 | 60 |
| 4 | 100 | 0 |
| 5 | 100 | 0 |

## Don't Dilute Minority Voting Strength

Packing: 4 blue, 1 green


Green electorate concentrated in one district

Cracking: 4 blue, 1 green influence


Green electorate split among four districts

## Use Census Blocks

Readily available
Smallest unit of "building blocks"
Presumed to be valid...but look for anomalies
Total population v. 18+ years
May be altered or supplemented with other sources of data but should document valid reasons why and how

## Follow Significant Boundaries

Follow major natural or man-made boundaries waterbodies, arterial roads, etc.
$\checkmark$ Easier to understand
$\checkmark$ Less disruptive to precincts
$\checkmark$ Coterminous with census blocks
Follow existing district boundaries when possible
$\checkmark$ Easier to understand
$\checkmark$ Recognizes will of voters

## Other Criteria...

Individual districts must be contiguous
$\checkmark$ Contiguity may be maintained via waterbody
Attempt to keep communities of interest together $\checkmark$ Cities, neighborhoods

Don't draw incumbents out of their district
May consider party affiliation...(generally not)
Avoid districts with "bizarre" shapes

## Gerrymandering



Gov. Elbridge Gerry
$\checkmark 9^{\text {th }}$ Governor of Massachusetts $\checkmark 5^{\text {th }}$ Vice President of US

## North Carolina <br> Congressional District 12



## North Carolina <br> Congressional District 12



## General Guidelines

- Equal (almost) in population
- Don't dilute minority voting strength
- Follow census blocks
- Follow significant boundaries
- Must be contiguous
- Should be as compact as possible
- Preserve communities of interest
- May consider party affiliation


## General Guidelines

- It is impossible for any redistricting plan to attain $100 \%$ compliance with every criteria
- Individual criteria are balanced in concert with each other with population being the dominant criteria


## General Guidelines

## Use Common Sense!

| Comparison of Plans (Total Population) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pop. for proposed districts Formula Table |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Remains in same district |  |  |  |  | New in district |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plan 5 | Total | White | Black | Others | \% | Total | White | Black | Others | \% | Total | White | Black | Others | \% Dev. |
| Dist 1 | 34,140 | 28,003 | 4,589 | 1,548 | 71.8\% | 13,439 | 11,615 | 1,263 | 561 | 28.2\% | 47,579 | 39,618 | 5,852 | 2,109 | -0.65\% |
| Dist 2 | 34,764 | 25,250 | 7,889 | 1,625 | 72.3\% | 13,312 | 9,945 | 2,793 | 574 | 27.7\% | 48,076 | 35,195 | 10,682 | 2,199 | 0.39\% |
| Dist 3 | 22,354 | 3,607 | 17,919 | 828 | 46.9\% | 25,300 | 12,424 | 11,695 | 1,181 | 53.1\% | 47,654 | 16,031 | 29,614 | 2,009 | -0.49\% |
| Dist 4 | 38,190 | 30,090 | 6,626 | 1,474 | 79.2\% | 10,010 | 7,073 | 2,453 | 484 | 208\% | 48,200 | 37,163 | 9,079 | 1,958 | $065 \%$ |
| Dist 5 | 21,749 | 13,848 | 6,476 | 2,425 | 47.5\% | 26,194 | 17,038 | 8,001 | 15 | 54.6\% | 47,943 | 30,886 | 14,477 | 2,580 | $0.11 \%$ |
|  | 151,197 | 100,798 | 43,499 | 7,900 | 63.6\% | 88,255 | 58,095 | 26,205 | 2, 55 | 36.9\% | 239,452 | 158,893 | 69,704 | 10,855 | 1.3 p |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plan 1 | Total | White | Black | Others | \% | Total | White | Black | Others |  | Total | White | Black | Others | - |
| Dist 1 | 42,632 | 34,854 | 5,933 | 1,845 | 90.1\% | 4,691 | 4,052 | 377 | 262 | 9.9\% | 47,323 | 38,906 | 6,310 | 2,107 | -1.18\% |
| Dist 2 | 46,659 | 32,735 | 11,752 | 2,172 | 93.5\% | 3,249 | 1,925 | 1,162 | 162 | 6.5\% | 49,908 | 34,660 | 12,914 | 2,334 | 4.21\% |
| Dist 3 | 34,887 | 11,221 | 22,212 | 1,454 | 76.1\% | 10,927 | 5,316 | 5,203 | 408 | 23.9\% | 45,814 | 16,537 | 27,415 | 1,862 | -4.33\% |
| Dist 4 | 43,940 | 35,945 | 6,523 | 1,472 | 92.6\% | 3,508 | 2,467 | 884 | 157 | 7.4\% | 47,448 | 38,412 | 7,407 | 1,629 | 0.98\% |
| Dist 5 | 45,337 | 27,962 | 14,793 | 2,582 | 92.6\% | 3,622 | 2,416 | 865 | 841 | 7.4\% | 43,959 | 30,378 | 15,658 | 2,923 | 2.23\% |
|  | 213,455 | 142,717 | 61,213 | 9,525 | 89.1\% | 25,997 | 16,176 | 8,491 | 1,330 | 10.9\% | 230,452 | 158,893 | 69,704 | 10,855 | 8.54 pt |

## Case Studies

## Case Studies - Jefferson County

## Existing Districts - 2013

| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { DISTRICT } \\ \# \end{array}$ | AVERAGE (MEAN) POPULATION | ACTUAL POPULATION | DEVIATION | PERCENT DEVIATION | WHITE | PERCENT WHITE | BLACK | PERCENT BLACK | HISPANIC | PERCENT HISPANIC | OTHER | PERCENT OTHER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2,952 | 3,108 | 156 | 5.28\% | 2,104 | 67.70\% | 874 | 28.12\% | 135 | 4.34\% | 130 | 4.18\% |
| 2 | 2,952 | 2,739 | (213) | -7.22\% | 1,051 | 38.37\% | 1,600 | 58.42\% | 67 | 2.45\% | 88 | 3.21\% |
| 3 | 2,952 | 3,068 | 116 | 3.92\% | 1,663 | 54.20\% | 1,299 | 42.34\% | 165 | 5.38\% | 106 | 3.46\% |
| 4 | 2,952 | 3,113 | 161 | 5.45\% | 2,379 | 76.42\% | 629 | 20.21\% | 106 | 3.41\% | 105 | 3.37\% |
| 5 | 2,952 | 2,733 | (219) | -7.42\% | 1,715 | 62.75\% | 938 | 34.32\% | 73 | 2.67\% | 80 | 2.93\% |

14,761



## Case Studies - Jefferson County

## Existing Districts - 2016

| Existing (Plan A) Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  | Deviation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WHT | BLK | OTH | HSP | TOT | PCT_BLK |  |  |
| District 1 | 2,078 | 790 | 130 | 129 | 2,998 | 26.35 | 277 | 9.23 |
| District 2 | 1,057 | 1,675 | 88 | 73 | 2,820 | 59.40 | 99 | 3.50 |
| District 3 | 1,069 | 747 | 83 | 81 | 1,899 | 39.34 | -822 | -43.31 |
| District 4 | 2,348 | 623 | 102 | 104 | 3,073 | 20.27 | 352 | 11.44 |
| District 5 | 1,777 | 953 | 87 | 75 | 2,817 | 33.83 | 96 | 3.39 |
| Jefferson County | 8,329 | 4,788 | 490 | 462 | 13,607 | 35.19 |  |  |


| Alternative 1a (Plan 1a) Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  | Deviation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WHT | BLK | OTH | HSP | TOT | PCT_BLK |  |  |
| District 1 | 2,010 | 773 | 124 | 121 | 2,907 | 26.59 | 186 | 6.38 |
| District 2 | 1,060 | 1,538 | 84 | 68 | 2,682 | 57.35 | -39 | -1.47 |
| District 3 | 1,552 | 1,060 | 139 | 135 | 2,751 | 38.53 | 30 | 1.08 |
| District 4 | 2,038 | 535 | 68 | 67 | 2,641 | 20.26 | -80 | -3.04 |
| District 5 | 1,669 | 882 | 75 | 71 | 2,626 | 33.59 | -95 | -3.63 |
| Jefferson County | 8,329 | 4,788 | 490 | 462 | 13,607 | 35.19 |  |  |

## Upcoming Challenges in Redistricting

## Upcoming Challenges

- To count or not to count?
- Fair Districts Amendments
- What is a "minority" in the redistricting process?
- 2020 Census


## Count Prison Population?

- Florida (state \& federal) prison population = 100,000+
- Impact especially significant in small jurisdictions when redistricting
- Census counts "people" without regard to age or voting status


## Count Prison Population?

- Florida AGO 2001-55 - you must count prison population
- Calvin v. Jefferson County (1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ DCA, ND Fla March 19, 2016) - you may not count prison population
- Evenwel v. Abbott (US S/Ct - April 4, 2016) - you [a state] may draw districts based on total population (not registered voters)


## "Fair Districts"

Fair Districts Amendments (2010) to Article III

- Section 20 (Standards for Congressional Districts)
- Section 21 (Standards for Legislative Districts)


## "Fair Districts"

(a) No apportionment plan or district shall be drawn with the intent to favor or disfavor a political party or an incumbent; and districts shall not be drawn with the intent or result of denying or abridging the equal opportunity of racial or language minorities to participate in the political process or to diminish their ability to elect representatives of their choice; and districts shall consist of contiguous territory.
(b) Unless compliance with the standards in this subsection conflicts with the standards in subsection 1(a) or with federal law, districts shall be as nearly equal in population as is practicable; districts shall be compact; and districts shall, where feasible, utilize existing political and geographical boundaries.

## "Fair Districts"

- Amendments do not apply to cities or counties
- But are reasonable policies or criteria to follow at local level


## What is a "minority"?

VRA enacted during the height of the civil rights movement in 1965

- Enforces rights guaranteed by the $14^{\text {th }}$ and $15^{\text {th }}$ Amendments to the US Constitution
- Prohibits laws/policies that result in discrimination against racial or language minorities


## What is a "minority"?

- Is a non-Hispanic white community that is a minority of a jurisdiction's population afforded protections under $14^{\text {th }}$ Amendment or VRA?
- TBD...See Baroody v. City of Quincy No. 4:20-cv-217-AW-MAF


## The 2020 Census

- First Census conducted in 1790 (US population $=3,929,214$ )
- 2020 Census
$\checkmark$ Organizational functions started January 2019
$\checkmark$ Census Day $=$ April 1, 2020
- Presumed to be correct but check for anomalies



## The 2020 Census - Original Plan

| Date | Task |
| :--- | :--- |
| January 2020 | Census begins counting remote Alaska |
| March | Paper instructions sent re: responding online |
| April 1 | Census Day - snapshot of households |
| Mid-April | Paper Questionnaires mailed |
| April - June | Census Takers visit group quarters |
| May - August | Census Takers visit homes not yet responded |
| December 31 | Reapportionment counts to Congress |
| March 31, 2021 | Census Bureau delivers counts to states |

## The 2020 Census - Reality

| Date | Task |
| :--- | :--- |
| January 2020 | Census begins counting remote Alaska <br> $\cdot$ <br> $1^{\text {st }}$ US COVID-19 case - travel ban |
| March | Paper instructions sent on responding online <br> $-\quad$ Census suspends field operations |
| April 1 | Census Day - snapshot of households |
| Mid-April | Paper Questionnaires mailed |
| April - June | Census Takers visit group quarters <br> - Field operations reinstated in May |
| May - August | Census Takers visit homes not yet responding |
| December 31 | Reapportionment counts to Congress <br> - New target: April 2021 |
| March 31, 2021 | Census Bureau delivers counts to states <br> - New target: September 2021 |

## 2021 Redistricting Options: \#1 - Use (only) 2020 Census Data

| Date | Task |
| :--- | :--- |
| July - September | Prepare for redistricting process <br> Acquire software and/or consulting assistance <br> Decide redistricting criteria to be used <br> Determine process and set schedule |
| September | Review 2020 data <br> Examine need to redistrict <br> Prepare initial maps |
| October | Discuss initial maps <br> Public Workshops <br> Revise Maps |
| November | Public Workshops/Revise Maps <br> Notice of Change of Boundaries <br> BCC Hearing |
| December 2021 | BCC Adopts new district maps |

2021 Redistricting Options: \#2 - Use Modified 2010 and 2020 Data

| Date | Task |
| :--- | :--- |
| April | Prepare for redistricting process <br> Acquire software and/or consulting assistance <br> Adjust 2010 Data for growth <br> Decide redistricting criteria to be used <br> Determine process and set schedule |
| May | Examine need to redistrict <br> Prepare initial maps |
| June - September | Discuss initial maps <br> Public Workshops <br> Revise Maps |
| October - November | Upload 2020 Data <br> Public Workshops/Revise Maps <br> Notice of Change of Boundaries <br> BCC Hearing |
| December 2021 | BCC Adopts new district maps |

## Questions?
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